Title: Gamma knife radiosurgery October 2000

**Agency:** Medicare Services Advisory Committee (MSAC)

Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing GPO Box 9848 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia

http://www.msac.gov.au

Reference: MSAC application 1028. Assessment report ISSN 1443-7120

## Aim

To assess the safety and effectiveness of the service and under what circumstances public funding should be supported for the service in relation to the treatment of arteriovenous malformation (AVM), cerebral metastases and acoustic neuroma.

## **Conclusions and results**

Methodological limitations of studies and patient heterogeneity preclude comprehensive assessment of the safety and effectiveness of gamma knife radiosurgery relative to alternative treatment.

Indication	AVM	Cerebral metastases	Acoustic neuroma
Safety	There is insufficient information to assess	The only useful data is from	Complication rates
-	the relative safety of gamma knife or linear	case series: 10% incidence of	are similar for
	accelerator (LINAC) radiosurgery and	radiation necrosis (1%fatal),	radiosurgery and
	microsurgery. Studies contain	20% incidence of acute	microsurgery:
	methodological limitations, patient	radiation induced odema 20%.	facial nerve
	selection biases and inconsistent adverse	One study suggests	problems (20%)
	event definitions. Permanent neurological	radiosurgery and whole brain	and hearing
	complications occurred in 1-10% of	radiotherapy (WBRT) incur	preservation (30-
	radiosurgery patients and up to 15% of	similar complication rates.	90%). Few studies
	microsurgery patients (5% for small		reported other
	accessible lesions).		complications.
Effectiveness	Two year AVM obliteration rates are 26-	One randomised trial (and	Microsurgical
	35% for gamma knife radiosurgery and 44-	some supportive case series	excision rates are
	68% for LINAC radiosurgery as a	data) suggest that radiosurgery	close to 100% and
	percentage of patients eligible for	in addition to WBRT shows no	tumour control
	angiography. These are likely to be	survival benefit, but may	rates with
	overestimates as only some of the patients	provide slightly improved local	radiosurgery are
	eligible for angiography undertook the	control when compared just to	measured at 80-
	procedure and patient follow up was	WBRT.	100%.
	inadequate. This compares to 85-100%		
	obliteration rates for microsurgery (higher		
<i>C</i> .	for small accessible lesions).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cost	Gamma knife was 1.7-2.9 times more expensive than LINAC radiosurgery. Uncertainties as to		
effectiveness	safety and effectiveness preclude an economic evaluation of gamma knife and comparators.		

## Recommendation

Public funding should not be supported for gamma knife radiosurgery at this time.

## Method

MSAC conducted a systematic review of medical literature using Medline, PreMedline, EmBase, the Cochrane Library, ISTAHC, Current Contends, HealthSTAR and NHS databases: (DARE, EED, HTA) from commencement until March 2000. Internet sites of certain health technology assessment groups were also included. The AANS and CNS Meeting Abstract Archive and the table of contents for *Radiosurgery* were also searched.